IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Roy El Shamar Kareem Riley Bey,)
Plaintiff, v.	Civil Action No. 3:18-mc-263-TLW
Kevin A Shwedo, South Carolina Department of Motor Vehicles; Clerk of Cort, Richland County Central Court; Leon Lott, Richland County Sheriff's Department; Lexington County Central Traffic Court; Jay Koon, Lexington County Sherriff Department; Governor Henry McMaster, State of South Carolina r; Georgia Department of Driver Services; Taliaferro County Sheriff's Office; The United States of America; Magistrate Clariss Stephens, Taliaferro County Probate Court; Chris Carr Attorney General, Georgia State Government; Nathan Deal, Governor, Georgia State Government; Lexis Nexis; All Other In Possession,))))))))))
Defendants.))

ORDER

Roy El Shamar Kareem Riley Bey brought this action seeking injunctive relief. ECF No. 1. This matter now comes before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (the Report) filed by United States Magistrate Judge Shiva V. Hodges, to whom this case had previously been assigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2)(e), (D.S.C.). ECF No. 7. In the Report, the Magistrate Judge recommends that the Complaint be dismissed because it is frivolous. *Id.* Objections were due on August 15, 2018, however, Plaintiff failed to file objections to the Report.

This Court is charged with conducting a de novo review of any portion of the Magistrate

Judge's Report and Recommendation to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept,

reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that Report. 28 U.S.C.

§ 636. In the absence of objections to the Report, this Court is not required to give any explanation

for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

The Court has carefully reviewed the Report and relevant filings. For the reasons

articulated by the Magistrate Judge, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report

and Recommendation, ECF No. 7, is ACCEPTED, and this action is DISMISSED without

prejudice and without issuance and service of process for failure to state a factual or legal basis

upon which relief can be granted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

<u>s/Terry L. Wooten</u> Chief United States District Judge

November 5, 2018 Columbia, South Carolina